Appl. No. 09/845,941 Arry. Oocket No. P126 Appearant Brief February 14, 2005

#### RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 1 4 2005

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

09/845,941

Applicant(5)

Hayek et al.

Filed

April 30, 2001

Title

Pet Food Composition For Reducing Inflammatory Response In Cats

TC/A.U.

1617

Examiner

Mojdeh Bahar

Conf. No.

3312

Docket No.

P126

Customer No.:

27752

## APPEAL BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Parents Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Dear Sir,

This Brief is filed pursuant to the appeal from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office decision mailed June 16, 2004 finally rejecting Claims 1-4, 10, and 11.. A Notice of Appeal was timely filed on September 24, 2004. Attached hereto is a Petition for Extension of Time, and the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1), providing for a timely filing of this brief to and including February 24, 2005.

# REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is The Procter & Gamble Company of Cincinnati, Ohio.

PAGE 611 1 RCVD AT 214/2005 12:54:01 PM [Eastern Standard Time] 5 SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-10 1 DRIS:2729305 1 CSID:513 622 3300 1 DBRATICH [msp-65]:03-40

1402 - 500

33/08/2005 FNERCER 00000002 162480 09045941

500.00 DA

Appl. No. 09/845,941 Atty. Docket No. P128 Appallant Brief February 14, 2005 Contorner No. 27752

The Examiner further states that Brown teaches that cat foods can be in canned or kibble form.

Appellants assert that the arguments presented above regarding Reinhart in traversing the § 103(a) rejection also apply to the present rejection. The Reinhart reference fails to teach or even suggest low levels of dietary fat (from about 7% to about 14%) in combinations with the criticality of omega-5 or omega-6 fatty acid source at this specific level.

Moreover, as Brown does nothing to remedy the deficiencies of Reinhart, the nonobviousness of Claims 10 and 11 has also been demonstrated. That Brown teaches canned and kibble cat foods is immaterial to the inventive discoveries relevant to low levels of lipid in combination with the specified omega-3-fatty acid, flax seed oil. The combination of Reinhart and Brown does not teach or suggest each and every element of Appellants' presently claimed invention.

Therefore, Appellants contend that the claimed invention is unobvious and that the rejection should be withdrawn.

### SUMMARY

In view of all of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the aforementioned rejections are erroneous. The Board's reversal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted.

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY

Cynthia L. Clay

Registration No. 54,930

(513) 622-0291

February 14, 2005 Customer No. 27752